1 2

4

3

5 6

7

8 9

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

19

18

20 21

22 23

24

25 26

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF NEVADA

* * *

SANDY HACKETT, Plaintiff(s), 2:09-cv-2075-RLH-LRL ORDER VS. RICHARD FEENEY, et al., Defendant(s).

Before the Court are two Orders (##79, 90) entered by the Honorable Lawrence R. Leavitt, regarding Plaintiff's Motion to (1) Disqualify Greenberg Traurig, LLP; (2) Disqualify Mark Tratos as Trial Counsel; (3) for Surrender of Client Files of Sandy Hackett; and (4) for Leave to Take Mark Tratos' Deposition (#54). Order #79 was the original order issued by Judge Leavitt in response to the motion. Order #90 was the product of a subsequent motion (#81) by Defendants seeking to Vacate a Portion of Order (#79), which Judge Leavitt granted, deciding he had committed clear error in a portion of Order #79.

Plaintiff filed Objections¹ to Judge Leavitt's Order (#79), (See #82), in accordance

Plaintiff additionally styles the Objections as a Motion to Set Aside the Magistrate Judge's October 18, 2010 Order. However, 28 U.S.C. 636(b) and Local Rule 3-1 only make provision for objections or a request for reconsideration by a District Judge of a Magistrate Judge's Order. The rules governing regular motions do not apply. No reply is permitted. This Court will consider the matter under the provisions of that statute and Local Rule.

1	with Local Rule IB 3-1 of the Rules of Practice of the United States District Court for the District of
2	Nevada. Defendants filed an Opposition (#88) to the Objections, and this matter was referred for
3	consideration. Because the Judge Leavitt's Order #90 is an extension and correction of #79, and is
4	based on the same findings and conclusions, the Court will apply Plaintiff's Objections and
5	Defendant's Opposition thereto as the arguments regarding the issues seem a logical extension.
6	The Court has conducted a <i>de novo</i> review of the record in this case in accordance
7	with 28 U.S.C. §636(b)(1)(A), (B), and (C) and Local Rule IB 3-1 and determines that the Orders
8	of Magistrate Judge Leavitt are not clearly erroneous nor contrary to law and should be affirmed.
9	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that Magistrate Judge Leavitt's Orders (##79, 90)
10	are AFFIRMED, Plaintiff's Objections (#82) are overruled, and Plaintiff's Motion to (1) Disqualify
11	Greenberg Traurig, LLP; (2) Disqualify Mark Tratos as Trial Counsel; (3) for Surrender of Client
12	Files of Sandy Hackett; and (4) for Leave to Take Mark Tratos' Deposition (#54) is DENIED.
13	Dated: November 23, 2010.
14	$O_{1}M_{1}$
15	Joseph L. Hant
16	Chief U.S. District Judge
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	